OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-0880 CB 312

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

APPLICANT NAME City of Cincinnati
STREET 801 Plum Street

CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

PROJECT NAME Columbia Parkway Improvements
PROJECT TYPE Street Rehabilitation & Slide Stabilization
TOTAL COST $ 1,680,000

DISTRICT NUMBER 2
COUNTY Hamilton

PROJECT LOCATION ZIP CODE 45226

90 SEP 14 P3:19
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ENGINEER

DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION
To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

RECOMMENDED AMOUNT OF FUNDING: $ 1,512,000.00

FUNDING SOURCE (Check Only One):

State Issue 2 District Allocation
X Grant
___ Loan
___ Loan Assistance

___ State Issue 2 Small Government Fund
___ State Issue 2 Emergency Funds
___ Local Transportation Improvement Fund

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER: _____ OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: $_____

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1	CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER	Gerald Newfarmer
	TITLE	City Manager
	STREET	801 Plum Street Room 152 City Hall
	CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati, 45202
	PHONE	(513) 352 - 3241
	FAX	( ) -
1.2	CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	Frank Dawson
	TITLE	Director of Finance
	STREET	801 Plum Street Room 250, City Hall
	CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati 45202
	PHONE	(513) 352 - 3732
	FAX	( ) -
1.3	PROJECT MGR	Robert Cordes
	TITLE	Principal Highway Design Engineer
	STREET	801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall
	CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati 45202
	PHONE	(513) 352 - 3409
	FAX	( ) -
1.4	PROJECT CONTACT	Doug Perry
	TITLE	Senior Engineer
	STREET	801 Plum Street Room 435, City Hall
	CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati 45202
	PHONE	(513) 352 - 3407
	FAX	( ) -
1.5	DISTRICT LIAISON	William Brayshaw
	TITLE	Chief Deputy Engineer
	STREET	Hamilton County Engineer's Office 223 West Galbraith Road
	CITY/ZIP	Cincinnati 45215
	PHONE	(513) 761 - 7400
	FAX	(513) 761 - 9127

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be consolidated for completion of this section.

2.1 **PROJECT NAME:** Columbia Parkway Improvements

2.2 **BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D):**

A. **SPECIFIC LOCATION:**

Columbia Parkway from Delta Avenue to Torrence Parkway

B. **PROJECT COMPONENTS:**

Rehabilitation of existing roadway including repair and replacement of curb, removal of existing asphalt surface, base and joint repairs, inlet and connection pipe repairs, resurfacing with a minimum of 2 inches of asphaltic concrete, construction of new pier walls and underpinning of existing pier walls.

C. **PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:**

Roadway is 5 lanes 50 feet in width and 8370 feet in length.

D. **DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:**

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

ADT = 29,500

No change in service capacity

Will use standard rehabilitation and pier wall construction techniques to upgrade roadway.

2.3 **REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs:	
	1. Preliminary Engineering	$
	2. Final Design	$
	3. Construction Supervision	$
b)	Acquisition Expenses	
	1. Land	$
	2. Right-of-Way	$
c)	Construction Costs	$ 1,680,000
d)	Equipment Costs	$
e)	Other Direct Expenses.	$
f)	Contingencies	$
g)	**TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS**	$ 1,680,000

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

		Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions*	$	
b)	Local Public Revenues	$ 168,000	10
c)	Local Private Revenues	$	
d)	Other Public Revenues		
	1. ODOT	$	
	2. FMHA	$	
	3. OEPA	$	
	4. OWDA	$	
	5. CDBG	$	
	6. Other _____	$	
e)	OPWC Funds		
	1. Grant	$ 1,512,000	90
	2. Loan	$	
	3. Loan Assistance	$	
f)	**TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES**	$ 1,680,000	100

* If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of <u>all</u> local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section <u>3.2(d)</u>, the following information <u>must be attached to this project application</u>:

1) The date funds are available;
2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:

Cost -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Item.
Cost Item -	Non-construction costs, including preliminary engineering, final design, acquisition expenses (land or right-of-way).
Prepaid -	Cost items (non-construction costs directly related to the project), paid prior to receipt of fully executed Project Agreement from OPWC.
Resource Category -	Source of funds (see section 3.2).
Verification -	Invoice(s) and copies of warrant(s) used to for prepaid costs, accompanied by Project Manager's Certification (see section 1.4).

IMPORTANT: Verification of all prepaid items shall be attached to this project application.

	COST ITEM	RESOURCE CATEGORY	COST
1)	_____	_____	$_____
2)	_____	_____	$_____
3)	_____	_____	$_____

TOTAL OF PREPAID ITEMS $_____

3.5 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

This section need only be completed if the Project is to be funded by SI2 funds:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	$ 1,680,000.00	100 %
State Issue 2 Funds for Repair/Replacement (Not to Exceed 90%)	$ 1,512,000.00	90
TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	$_____	_____ %
State Issue 2 Funds for New/Expansion (Not to Exceed 50%)	$_____	_____

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

		ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
4.1	**ENGR. DESIGN**	10 / 1 / 90	7 / 1 / 91
4.2	**BID PROCESS**	7 / 1 / 91	9 / 1 / 91
4.3	**CONSTRUCTION**	9 / 1 / 9;	6 / 1 / 93

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Michael Bierman, Acting City Manager

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

9/14/90

Signature/Date Signed

Applicant shall check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this application:

✓ A five-year Capital Improvements Report as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code.

✓ A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.

✓ A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's original seal and signature.

✓ A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts.

YES / ✓ N/A A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district).

YES / ✓ N/A Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application.

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certifies That:

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRMAN DISTRICT #2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Donald C. Schramm 11/2/90

Signature/Date Signed

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1988

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 7,750,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 1,850,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 1,426,000
Eggleston Avenue Improvement	Widening & Channelizing	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 125,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 500,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 375,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 50,000
Impract Attenuators	Installation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 50,000
Hopple-Beekman-Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 100,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 310,000

SEPTEMBER 14, 1990

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1989

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Hopple-Beekman-Westwood Northern Blvd. Intersection	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund (from Issue 1 Funds)	$ 315,000
Monastery Street	Hillside Stabilization	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 300,000
Guerley Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 50,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 1,710,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 200,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 190,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 500,000
Belmont Avenue	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 300,000
Brighton Connection	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 400,000
Calhoun Street	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 100,000
Clifton Avenue	Realignment	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 150,000
Elberon Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 60,000

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

Hamilton Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	200,000
Maryland Avenue	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	100,000
Queen City Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	700,000
Rapid Transit Tubes Under Central Parkway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	300,000
Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	120,000
Waits Avenue	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	50,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	200,000
Warsaw/Waldvogel Ramp	Landslide Correction	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	130,000
Groesbeck Road	Widening	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	100,000
U.S. 50/Sixth Street Expressway	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$	100,000

SEPTEMBER 14, 1990

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

CINCINNATI CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT BUDGET, 1990

PROJECT NAME	PROJECT TYPE	FUNDING SOURCE	FUNDING AMOUNT
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 5,200,000
Street Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 110,000
Southside Avenue Bridge Replacement	Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 100,000
Queen City and LaFeuille	Intersection Improvement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 325,000
Bridge Investment Protection Program	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 60,000
Wall Stabilization & Landslide Correction	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 400,000
City Sidewalks, Drives, Etc.	Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 300,000
City Hillside Stair Renovation	Rehabilitation & Replacement	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 290,000
Lincoln, Alms and M.L. King	Intersection Improvemtnt	Street Improvement Bond Fund	$ 310,000
Cinti-Newport Bridge Approach	Widening	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 550,000
Bridge Rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$ 1,300,000

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE OF LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

Stadium/Coliseum Bridges	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$	80,000
Sixth St. Expressway Millcreek to I-75	Rehabilitation	Income Tax Perm. Improvement Fund	$	300,000
Waldvogel Viaduct	Rehabilitation	Street Improvement	$	500,000

City of Cincinnati

Department of Public Works
Division of Engineering

Room 440, City Hall
801 Plum Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

George Rowe
Director
Thomas E. Young
City Engineer

September 14, 1990

Subject: Columbia Parkway Rehabilitation,
Delta to Torrence
Certification of Useful Life of Issue 2 OPWC Projects

As required by Chapter 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code, I hereby certify that the design useful life of the subject street rehabilitation project is at least twenty (20) years.

STATE OF OHIO
THOMAS E.
YOUNG
26962
REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

(seal)

T. E. Young, P.E.
City Engineer
City of Cincinnati

1991 STREET REHABILITATION, STATE ISSUE #2
Columbia Parkway

REF.	ITEM NO.	ESTIMATED QUANTITIES	DESCRIPTION	EST. UNIT PRICE	ESTIMATED COST
1	103.05	Lump Sum	Contract Bond		$8,595.00
2	Special	2,790 s.y.	Part Depth Pavt. Rep(Conc. Pavt.)	$27.00	$75,330.00
3	Special	10 c.y.	Maintenance Patching	$80.00	$800.00
4	Special	100 l.f.	Connection Pipe Cleaned	$10.00	$1,000.00
5	202	2,325 s.y.	Rigid Pavt. Removed-Full Depth	$25.00	$58,125.00
6	202	46,500 s.y.	Wearing Course Removed	$1.50	$69,750.00
7	301	600 c.y.	Bituminous Aggregrate Base(9")	$85.00	$51,000.00
8	304	10 c.y.	Aggregate Base	$25.00	$250.00
9	403	2,000 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course	$62.00	$124,000.00
10	404	2,000 c.y.	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course	$62.00	$124,000.00
11	602	5 c.y.	Brick Masonry	$200.00	$1,000.00
12	603	300 l.f.	12" Conduit, Type "H"	$30.00	$9,000.00
13	604	34 ea.	Manhole Adjust to Grade W/O Ring	$175.00	$5,950.00
14	604	16 ea.	Valve Chambers Adjust W/O Ring	$175.00	$2,800.00
15	604	2 ea.	DGI Adjusted To Grade	$230.00	$460.00
16	604	24 ea.	DGI Repaired & Adjusted To Grade	$260.00	$6,240.00
17	604	10 ea.	Const. of DGI/CI Aband Old Inlet	$1,250.00	$12,500.00
18	608	100 s.f.	Concrete Walk	$4.00	$400.00
19	609	2,500 l.f.	Concrete Curb Repair,Type P-4	$16.00	$40,000.00
20	609	150 l.f.	Concrete Curb ,Type S-1	$15.00	$2,250.00
21	1125	5 ea.	Reset Ex. Valve Box W/O Adjusters	$110.00	$550.00
22	619	Lump Sum	Field Office		$1,000.00
23	Special	1,100 l.f.	Pier Wall	$500.00	$550,000.00
24	Special	2,100 l.f.	Underpinning Existing Pier Wall	$250.00	$525,000.00
25	519	1,000 s.f.	Patching of Concrete Structures	$10.00	$10,000.00
				Total Cost	$1,680,000.00

STATE OF OHIO
THOMAS E. YOUNG
26962
REGISTERED
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

T. E. Young, P. E.
City Engineer
City of Cincinnati

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

LOCAL SHARE OF THE PROJECT COSTS WILL COME FROM CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS WHICH WILL BE APPROVED AS PART OF THE CITY'S 1991 BUDGET. CAPITAL FUNDS COME FROM CITY INCOME TAX REVENUE AND THE SALE OF BONDS.

Project Limits
End
Dayton
Woodlawn
Avondale
Vine St. Hill Cemetery
Roger Bacon Athletic Field
WCIN Radio Towers
Xavier Univ. Parking Lot
Norwood Shopping Plaza
Le Blond Makino Co.
Zoological Gardens
Walnut Hills High Sch.
German Protestant Cemetery
Highland School
Walter Schmidt Memorial Playfield
Ohio River Launch Club
Museum of Natural History
Riverfront Coliseum (Sports Arena)
Riverfront Stadium
Mike Fink's Restaurant
Newport Reservoir
Newport Catholic Our Lady of Providence H.S.
Newport Plaza
Newport Shopping Center
Convent of the Good Shepherd
Evergreen
Covington Reservoir
Linden Grove Cem.
Northern Kentucky University Western Campus
Ohio River
Licking River
KY
OHIO
DANA AVE
FOREST AVE
ROCKDALE AVE
LINCOLN AVE
UNIVERSITY AVE
MADISON RD
MCMILLAN ST
EASTERN AVE
COLUMBIA PKWY
MEMORIAL PKWY
COVERT RUN RD
CHESAPEAKE AVE
GRAND AVE
HIGHLAND AVE
7TH ST.
561
22
27

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to forsee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do NOT request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in **poor** condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

   Typical examples are:

   Road percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of road that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of road within jurisdiction}}$

   Storm percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction}}$

   Bridge percentage= $\frac{\text{Number of bridges that are in poor condition}}{\text{Number of bridges within jurisdiction}}$

   Road Percentage = $\frac{\text{Miles Poor}}{\text{Total Miles}} = \frac{200}{915} = 21.9\%$

---

2. What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	_____	Poor	X
Fair	_____	Good	_____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

Pavement shows sign of severe wear - Pavement failures, heaved joints, spalled and deteriorated curb, inlet failures, and general deterioration of existing roadway. Age of pavement is 30 years (+-)

A geotechnical report determined that the hillside is moving and that a pier wall should be constructed and the existing wall underpinned to prevent further movement.

3. If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the opening of bids occur? 5 months

Please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below.

a) Has the Consultant been selected?.....	Yes	No	N/A
b) Preliminary development or engineering completed?	Yes	No	N/A
c) Detailed construction plans completed?.....	Yes	No	N/A
d) All right-of-way acquired?.....	Yes	No	N/A
e) Utility coordination completed?.....	Yes	No	N/A

Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.

Within 3 months of approval by OPWC, all above work will be completed so that projects can be awarded in 1990.

4. How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.)

Will assist in maintaining current tax base and also provide satisfactory road network for future development.

5. For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide a MINIMUM OF 10% of the anticipated construction cost. Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, and right-of-way acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Issue 2 or Small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Project Financial Resources". **For a project involving LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.**

What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)

Local Capital Improvement Bond Funds.

To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?

10%

6. Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) **THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.**

COMPLETE BAN ____ PARTIAL BAN ____ NO BAN __X__

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES___ NO___

Document with specific information explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.

_____

_____

_____

7. What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

ADT = 29,500 USERS = 35,400

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit must be documented. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.

This street is part of the Federal Aid Urban System and is classified as a major arterial connecting downtown with the east side of the city, Anderson Township and Clermont County.

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: CINCINNATI

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

COLUMBIA PARKWAY

PROPOSED FUNDING:

ELIGIBLE CATEGORY:

POINTS

10 1) Type of project

10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater
5 Points - All other projects

10 2) If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)

10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991
5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991
0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991

10 3) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

15 Points - Poor condition
10 Points - Fair to Poor condition
5 Points - Fair condition

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

2 | 4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?

5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability
4 Points -
3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability
2 Points -
1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability

4 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service?

10 Points - 50% and over
8 Points - 40% to 49%
6 Points - 30% to 39%
4 Points - 20% to 29%
2 Points - 10% to 19%
0 Points - Less than 10%

6 6) How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area?

10 Points - Significant importance
8 Points -
6 Points - Moderate importance
4 Points -
2 Points - Minimal importance

6 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

10 Points - Poor
8 Points -
6 Points - Fair
4 Points -
2 Points - Excellent

1 8) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds.

5 Points - More than 50%
4 Points - 40% to 49.9%
3 Points - 30% to 39.9%
2 Points - 20% to 29.9%
1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

MINIMUM 10% MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED

0 9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or loca governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban o the usage .or expansion of the usage for the involve infrastructure? Examples include weight limits o structures and moratoriums on building permits in particular area due to local flooding downstream. Point can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project bein rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban
5 Points - Partial ban
0 Points - No ban

10 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that wil benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriat criteria includes traffic counts & households served, whe converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit user are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but onl when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over
8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999
6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499
4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999
2 Points - 2,499 and Under

5 11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Conside originations & destinations of traffic, size of servic area, number of jurisdictions served, functiona classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact
4 Points -
3 Points - Moderate impact
2 Points -
1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS