

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-070565
	:	TRIAL NO. B-0608387
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
vs.	:	
THOMAS E. JARC,	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court.¹

Following his guilty plea, defendant-appellant Thomas Jarc was convicted of robbery, in violation of R.C. 2911.02(A)(2). Jarc now appeals. In two assignments of error, Jarc argues that (1) the trial court erred by denying his motion to dismiss, and (2) “it would be error to limit appellant’s right of appeal.” We affirm.

In his motion to dismiss, Jarc argued that the state had violated his right to a speedy trial pursuant to R.C. 2963.30, Ohio’s codification of the Interstate Agreement on Detainers. Jarc claimed that the state had failed to try him within 180 days of his written notice and request for a final disposition of the indictment. The trial court overruled the motion, and Jarc entered his guilty plea.

¹ See S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A), App.R. 11.1(E), and Loc.R. 12.

OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

By entering a guilty plea, Jarc waived his right to challenge on appeal any claimed violation of his right to a speedy trial as provided by R.C. 2963.30.² Consequently, we overrule the first assignment of error.

Our disposition of the first assignment of error renders moot any error with respect to Jarc's right to appeal his conviction, as alleged in his second assignment of error. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment of the trial court.

Further, a certified copy of this Judgment Entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

SUNDERMANN, P.J., HENDON and DINKELACKER, JJ.

To the Clerk:

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on September 10, 2008
per order of the Court _____.
Presiding Judge

² *State v. Salter* (June 10, 1982), 8th Dist. Nos. 44233 and 44234; *State v. Dobbs* (July 3, 1978), 11th Dist. No. 6-220; see, also, *State v. Bowman* (Dec. 21, 1990), 3rd Dist. No. 3-89-18.