

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

IN RE: MARCIANO LATTIMORE	:	APPEAL NO. C-080145 TRIAL NO. 07-15730X
	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
	:	
	:	
	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court.¹

Marciano Lattimore was adjudicated a delinquent child for having committed an act that if committed by an adult would have constituted aggravated robbery with a firearm specification.

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*,² Lattimore's counsel advises this court that, after a thorough review of the record, he has discerned no arguable assignments of error to present on appeal. Counsel has filed a motion to withdraw. He now asks this court to conduct an independent review of the record to determine whether the proceedings in the juvenile court were free from prejudicial error.³

After reviewing the entire record, we are satisfied that Lattimore's counsel has provided him with a diligent and thorough search of the record, and that counsel has

¹ See S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A), App.R. 11.1(E), and Loc.R. 12.

² (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396.

³ See *Freels v. Hills* (C.A.6, 1988), 843 F.2d 958.

OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

correctly concluded that the proceedings below were free from prejudicial error.⁴ We therefore overrule counsel's motion to withdraw from his representation of Lattimore and affirm the judgment of the juvenile court.

Our determination that the proceedings below were free of prejudicial error compels our conclusion that there are no reasonable grounds for this appeal. But because Lattimore is indigent, we refrain from taxing costs and expenses against him.

A certified copy of this judgment entry shall constitute the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

SUNDERMANN, P.J., CUNNINGHAM and DINKELACKER, JJ.

To the Clerk:

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on October 22, 2008
per order of the Court _____.
Presiding Judge

⁴ See *Penson v. Ohio* (1988), 488 U.S. 75, 109 S.Ct. 346.