

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-100662
	:	TRIAL NO. B-1001401
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
vs.	:	
RENARD BOYD, JR.,	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court.<sup>1</sup>

Defendant-appellant Renard Boyd pleaded guilty to one count of nonsupport of his dependants, a fourth degree felony. The trial court accepted Boyd's plea, found him guilty, and sentenced Boyd to eight months' incarceration. This appeal followed.

In his sole assignment of error, Byod first argues that the trial court erred by finding him guilty because the state did not present any facts at Boyd's plea hearing. But Byod stipulated to the language in his indictment and waived a reading of the facts. Boyd's contention is not supported by the record. There was no error.

Boyd next argues that his sentence was excessive. It was not. Boyd's sentence falls within the statutory range, and there is no indication that the trial court abused its discretion in imposing it.<sup>2</sup> This argument has no merit.

---

<sup>1</sup> See S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A), App.R. 11.1(E), and Loc.R. 12.

**OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS**

---

Boyd's assignment of error is overruled. We affirm the trial court's judgment.

**DINKELACKER, P.J., HENDON and FISCHER, JJ.**

*To the Clerk:*

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on May 11, 2011

per order of the Court \_\_\_\_\_.  
Presiding Judge

---

<sup>2</sup> See *State v. Kalish*, 120 Ohio St.3d 23, 2008-Ohio-4912, 896 N.E.2d 124; *State v. Foster*, 109 Ohio St.3d 1, 2006-Ohio-856, 845 N.E.2d 470.