

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-110076
	:	TRIAL NO. B-1000608
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
vs.	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
RODNEY GRIFFIN,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. See S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A); App.R. 11.1(E); Loc.R. 11.1.1.

After entering a no-contest plea, defendant-appellant Rodney Griffin was convicted on one count of breaking and entering and one count of possessing criminal tools. The trial court imposed consecutive, one-year terms of incarceration. Griffin now appeals.

In his two assignments of error, Griffin contends that his maximum, consecutive sentences are contrary to law and an abuse of discretion. The assignments of error are not supported by the record. See *State v. Kalish*, 120 Ohio St.3d 23, 2008-Ohio-4912, 896 N.E.2d 124.

Griffin's sentences were within the statutory range for the offenses. And we presume that the trial court considered the applicable sentencing statutes when determining Griffin's sentences. See *State v. Brown*, 1st Dist. Nos. C-100309 and C-100310, 2011-Ohio-1029, ¶14, citing *Kalish*, supra, at fn. 4; see, also, *State v. Wilson*, 129

OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS

Ohio St.3d 214, 2011-Ohio-2669, 951 N.E.2d 381, ¶31. On the state of this record, we cannot say that the trial court acted unreasonably, arbitrarily, or unconscionably in imposing the sentences, including the maximum and consecutive terms. Accordingly, we overrule the assignments of error.

Therefore, we affirm the trial court's judgment.

Further, a certified copy of this judgment entry shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

SUNDERMANN, P.J., HENDON and CUNNINGHAM, JJ.

To the Clerk:

Enter upon the Journal of the Court on November 16, 2011
per order of the Court _____.
Presiding Judge