

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-110154
	:	TRIAL NO. B-1004582
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
vs.	:	
DAMIEN THOMPSON,	:	
	:	
Defendant-Appellant,	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A); App.R. 11.1(E); Loc.R. 11.1.1.

Following his guilty pleas, defendant-appellant Damien Thompson was convicted of two counts of aggravated murder, two counts of aggravated robbery, and one count of felonious assault.

In his first assignment of error, Thompson argues that the trial court erred in accepting his guilty pleas because they were not made knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily. A full reading of the record, however, belies this claim. The trial court conducted a comprehensive Crim.R. 11(C) plea colloquy with Thompson, and there is no indication in the record that Thompson failed to understand either the nature of the proceedings or the consequences of his pleas. *See State v. Bowling*, 1st Dist. No. C-100323, 2011-Ohio-4946, ¶ 15. Because Thompson has failed to demonstrate that his guilty pleas were made unknowingly, unintelligently, or involuntarily, we overrule his first assignment of error. *See, e.g., State v. Evans*, 1st Dist. No. C-100028, 2011-Ohio-2356, ¶ 13-14.

**OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS**

---

In his second assignment of error, Thompson argues that he was deprived of his constitutional right to effective assistance of counsel. But in the record before this court, Thompson has failed to demonstrate that his trial counsel's performance was deficient, or that but for the alleged deficiency, the result of his trial would have been different. We, therefore, overrule his second assignment of error. *See State v. Bradley*, 42 Ohio St.3d 136, 538 N.E.2d 373 (1989), paragraphs two and three of the syllabus; *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. 668, 104 S.Ct. 2052, 80 L.Ed.2d 674 (1984).

The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

A certified copy of this judgment entry is the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

**HILDEBRANDT, P.J., HENDON and FISCHER, JJ.**

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on January 25, 2012

per order of the court \_\_\_\_\_  
Presiding Judge