

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
FIRST APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO  
HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO**

STATE OF OHIO,	:	APPEAL NO. C-110333
	:	TRIAL NO. C-11CRB-8694A
Plaintiff-Appellee,	:	
	:	<i>JUDGMENT ENTRY.</i>
vs.	:	
KESHIA GRAVES,	:	
Defendant-Appellant.	:	

We consider this appeal on the accelerated calendar, and this judgment entry is not an opinion of the court. *See* S.Ct.R.Rep.Op. 3(A); App.R. 11.1(E); Loc.R. 11.1.1.

Defendant-appellant Keshia Graves appeals a conviction for disorderly conduct under R.C. 2917.11(A)(2). She argues in her sole assignment of error that the state's evidence was insufficient to support the conviction. This assignment of error is not well taken.

Our review of the record shows that a rational trier of fact, after viewing the evidence in a light most favorable to the prosecution, could have found that the state had proved all of the elements of disorderly conduct under R.C. 2917.11(A)(2). Therefore the evidence was sufficient to support the conviction. *See State v. Jenks*, 61 Ohio St.3d 259, 574 N.E.2d 492 (1991), paragraph two of the syllabus; *State v. Starks*, 1st Dist. No. C-100763, 2011-Ohio-6118, ¶ 4-8; *Fairborn v. Grills*, 2nd Dist. No. 92 CA 92 (June 8, 1994).

Graves is really arguing that her testimony was more credible than that of the state's witnesses, but matters as to the credibility of evidence are for the trier of fact to decide. *State v. Bryan*, 101 Ohio St.3d 272, 2004-Ohio-971, 804 N.E.2d 433, ¶ 116.

**OHIO FIRST DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS**

---

Consequently, we overrule Graves's assignment of error and affirm the trial court's judgment.

A certified copy of this judgment entry is the mandate, which shall be sent to the trial court under App.R. 27. Costs shall be taxed under App.R. 24.

**SUNDERMANN, P.J., CUNNINGHAM and DINKELACKER, JJ.**

To the clerk:

Enter upon the journal of the court on January 20, 2012  
per order of the court \_\_\_\_\_.

Presiding Judge

