



The Ohio Public Works Commission

65 East State Street, Suite 312, Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone (614) 466-0880

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 7/93

CBOTC

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

SUBDIVISION: The Village of Addyston CODE# 061-00436

DISTRICT NUMBER: 2 COUNTY: Hamilton DATE 09/25/98

CONTACT: David F. Seitz PHONE # (513) 563-1919

(THE PROJECT CONTACT PERSON SHOULD BE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WILL BE AVAILABLE ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS DURING THE APPLICATION REVIEW AND SELECTION PROCESS AND WHO CAN BEST ANSWER OR COORDINATE THE RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS)

PROJECT NAME: Second Street Reconstruction

SUBDIVISION TYPE

(Check Only 1)

- 1. County
- 2. City
- 3. Township
- 4. Village
- 5. Water/Sanitary District
(Section 6119 O.R.C.)

FUNDING TYPE REQUESTED

(Check All Requested & Enter Amount)

- 1. Grant \$ 55,000
- 2. Loan \$ _____
- 3. Loan Assistance \$ _____
- MBE SET-ASIDE OFFERED
- Construction \$ _____
- Procurement \$ _____

PROJECT TYPE

(Check Largest Component)

- 1. Road
- 2. Bridge/Culvert
- 3. Water Supply
- 4. Wastewater
- 5. Solid Waste
- 6. Stormwater

TOTAL PROJECT COST: \$ 121,000 FUNDING REQUESTED: \$ 55,000

DISTRICT RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

GRANT: \$ _____ LOAN ASSISTANCE: \$ _____
LOAN: \$ _____ % _____ TERM: _____ yrs. (Attach Loan Supplement)

(Check Only 1)

- State Capital Improvement Program
- Local Transportation Improvements Program
- Small Government Program

DISTRICT MBE SET-ASIDE

Construction \$ _____
Procurement \$ _____

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

PROJECT NUMBER: C / C
Local Participation _____ %
OPWC Participation _____ %
Project Release Date: / /
OPWC Approval: _____

APPROVED FUNDING: \$ _____
Loan Interest Rate: _____ %
Loan Term: _____ years
Maturity Date: _____
Date Approved: / /

1.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

1.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS:

(Round to Nearest Dollar)

MBE \$	Force Account \$
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

a.) Project Engineering Costs:	
1. Preliminary Engineering	\$ <u>NA .00</u>
2. Final Design	\$ <u>NA .00</u>
3. Other Engineer Services *	\$ <u>NA .00</u>
Supervision \$ _____	<u>.00</u>
Miscellaneous \$ _____	<u>.00</u>
b.) Acquisition Expenses:	
1. Land	\$ <u>NA .00</u>
2. Right-of-Way	\$ <u>NA .00</u>
c.) Construction Costs:	\$ <u>109,900.00</u>
d.) Equipment Purchased directly:	\$ <u>.00</u>
e.) Other Direct Expenses:	\$ <u>.00</u>
f.) Contingencies:	\$ <u>11,100.00</u>
g.) TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS:	\$ <u>121,000.00</u>

1.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES:

(Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

		%
a.) Local In-Kind Contributions	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
b.) Local Public Revenues	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
c.) Local Private Revenues	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
d.) Other Public Revenues		
1. ODOT PID# _____	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
2. EPA/OWDA	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
3. OTHER (CDBG)	\$ <u>66,000.00</u>	<u>54.5</u>
SUB TOTAL LOCAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>66,000.00</u>	<u>54.5</u>
e.) OPWC Funds		
1. Grant	\$ <u>55,000.00</u>	<u>45.5</u>
2. Loan	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
3. Loan Assistance	\$ <u>.00</u>	_____
SUB TOTAL OPWC RESOURCES:	\$ <u>55,000.00</u>	<u>45.5</u>
f.) TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES:	\$ <u>121,000.00</u>	<u>100%</u>

*Other Engineer's Services must be outlined in detail on the required certified engineer's estimate.

1.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS:

Attach a summary from the Chief Financial Officer listed in section 5.2 listing all local share funds budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available.

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional, information must be consolidated in this section.

2.1 PROJECT NAME: Second Street Reconstruction

2.2 BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections a through d):

a: SPECIFIC LOCATION:

Second Street north of Baker Lane

PROJECT ZIP CODE: 45001

b: PROJECT COMPONENTS:

- Remove existing pavement.
- Remove poorly compacted subgrade and recompact.
- Replace wood lagging on retaining wall on west side with concrete lagging.
- Install french drains and drain tile.
- Install drilled pier retaining wall on east side.
- Install new asphalt road and curb with sidewalk on one side.

c: PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS / CHARACTERISTICS:

- Asphalt paved street and curb 380 lf +/- x 20'W.
- Lagging 180 lf x 4' high.
- Drilled pier retaining wall 120 lf x 6' high.
- Sidewalk 4'W. x 380 lf
- 6" dia. drain tile 400 lf
- 6" dia french drains 200 lf

d: DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs. proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include both current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallon per household. Attach current rate ordinance.

Residential Street

2.3 USEFUL LIFE / COST ESTIMATE: Project Useful Life: 40 Years.

Attach Registered Professional Engineer's statement, with original seal and signature certifying the project's useful life indicated above and estimated cost.

3.0 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT \$ 121,000 100 %
State Funds Requested for Repair and Replacement \$ 55,000 45.5 %

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION \$ _____ %
State Funds Requested for New and Expansion \$ _____ %

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE: *

	BEGIN DATE	END DATE
4.1 Engineering/Design:	<u>03 /01 /99</u>	<u>07 /01 /99</u>
4.2 Bid Advertisement:	<u>07/01 /99</u>	<u>08 /01 /99</u>
4.3 Construction:	<u>08/01 /99</u>	<u>01/01 /00</u>

* Failure to meet project schedule may result in termination of agreement for approved projects. Modification of dates must be approved in writing by the Commission once the Project Agreement has been executed. Dates should assume project agreement approval/release on July 1st of the Program Year applied for.

5.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION:

5.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE

OFFICER Ms. Carole Kolb
TITLE Mayor, Village of Addyston
STREET 235 Main Street

CITY/ZIP Addyston, Ohio 45001
PHONE (513) 941 - 1313
FAX (513) 941 - 0740

5.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL

OFFICER Mr. Craig Gray
TITLE Clerk, Village of Addyston
STREET 235 Main Street

CITY/ZIP Addyston, Ohio 45001
PHONE (513) 941 - 1313
FAX (513) 941 - 0740

5.3 PROJECT MANAGER Mr. David F. Seitz, Principal, Smith , Stevens & Young, Inc.

TITLE 11675 Lebanon Road
STREET _____

CITY/ZIP Cincinnati, Ohio 45241
PHONE (513) 563 - 1919
FAX (513) 563 - 1411

6.0 ATTACHMENTS/COMPLETENESS REVIEW:

Check each section below, confirming that all required information is included in this application.

- * A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated Official to submit this application and execute contracts. (Attach)
- * A summary from the applicant's Chief Financial Officer listing all local share funds budgeted for the project and the date they are anticipated to be available. (Attach)
- X A registered professional engineer's estimate of projects useful life and cost estimate, as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimates shall contain engineer's original seal and signature. (Attach)
- NA A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) if this project involves more than one subdivision or district. (Attach)
- * Capital Improvements Report: (Required by 164 O.R.C. on standard form)
 A: Attached.
 B: Report/Update Filed with the Commission within the last twelve months.
- NA Floodplain Management Permit: Required if project is in 100-year floodplain. See Instructions.
- X Supporting Documentation: Materials such as additional project description, photographs, economic impact (temporary and/or full time jobs likely to be created as a result of the project), and other information to assist your district committee in ranking your project.

(*) Information will follow.

7.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION:

The undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally authorized to request and accept financial assistance from the Ohio Public Works Commission; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the applicant; and, (4) should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio Law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in the application has NOT begun, and will not begin until a Project Agreement on this project has been executed with the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary will result in termination of the agreement and withdrawal of Ohio Public Works Commission funding of the project.

Carole A. Kolb, Mayor Carole A. Kolb
Certifying Representative (Type or Print Name and Title)

9-24-98
Signature/Date Signed


VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON
 1999 STATE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND ROUND 13
 FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE APPLICATION
 FOR
 SECOND STREET REPLACEMENT

COST ESTIMATE:

Remove Existing Pavement	750 sy @ \$6.50/sy	\$ 5,000.00
Excavate & Recompact Subgrade	1,500 sy @ \$5.50/sy	\$ 8,300.00
Install Concrete Lagging	1500 sf @ \$8.50/sf	\$ 12,800.00
Install 36" Dia. Drilled Pier Retaining Wall	250 sf @ \$75.00/sf	\$ 18,800.00
6" Dia. Drain Tile	400 lf @ \$10.00/lf	\$ 4,000.00
6" Dia. French Drains	200 lf @ \$30.00/lf	\$ 6,000.00
Install Concrete Curb & Gutter	1500 lf @ \$12.00 lf	\$ 18,000.00
Install Concrete Sidewalk	3000 sf @ \$3.50/sf	\$ 10,500.00
Install New Asphalt Roadway		
6" Base	750 sy @ \$6.50/sy	\$ 4,900.00
2" Binder Course	750 sy @ \$5.00/sy	\$ 3,800.00
1-1/2" Wearing Course	750 sy @ \$4.00/sy	\$ 3,000.00
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 95,100.00
	5% General Conditions	<u>4,800.00</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 99,900.00
	10% Contr. O&P	<u>10,000.00</u>
	SUBTOTAL	\$ 109,900.00
	10% Contingency	<u>11,100.00</u>
	TOTAL	\$ 121,000.00

USEFUL LIFE ESTIMATE: 40 Years

FOR: SMITH, STEVENS & YOUNG, INC.




 David F. Seitz, P.E.





Village of Addyston... INCORPORATED

ON THE NATIONAL REGISTER OF HISTORIC PLACES
ADDYSTON, OHIO 45001



December 19, 1997

Joe Cottrill
County Engineers Office
138 E. Court Street
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Dear Mr. Cottrill,

This letter is to confirm the Village of Addyston has set aside \$4,000.00 (four thousand) for resurfacing Second Street.

The funds will be available January 1, 1998.

Sincerely

Susan J. Lloyd
Clerk/Treasurer
Village of Addyston

VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON

RESOLUTION NO. 1994-13

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE MAYOR TO
SUBMIT TO THE OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION
APPLICATIONS FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE FOR
THE VILLAGE OF ADDYSTON UNDER THE STATE
ISSUE 2 PROJECTS FUND FOR 1994

WHEREAS, the Village of Addyston is eligible to receive financial assistance in 1994 from the State Issue 2 Projects Fund for repair of streets, bridge rehabilitation and various water projects (shut-off valves, repairs to water tanks and storm water rehabilitation); and


WHEREAS, in order to receive said Funds, the Council of the Village of Addyston must authorize the Mayor to submit an application to the Ohio Public Works Commission for such financial assistance; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the Village of Addyston, State of Ohio:

SECTION 1. That the Mayor is hereby authorized to submit to the Ohio Public Works Commission applications for financial assistance for the Village of Addyston under the State Issue 2 Projects Fund for 1994.

SECTION 2. That this Resolution is hereby declared to be an emergency Resolution and a measure necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, safety and general welfare and shall go into effect forthwith. The reason for said emergency is the necessity to meet the Ohio Public Works Commission deadline for accepting said applications.

ADOPTED this fifteenth day of November, 1994.



Carole A. Kolb, Mayor

ATTEST:



Dottie Pearson, Clerk

(This Resolution was prepared by Frances Kelly, Village Solicitor.)

SCIP/LTIP PROGRAM

ROUND 13 - PROGRAM YEAR 1999

PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JULY 1, 1999 TO JUNE 30, 2000

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: ADDYSTON

NAME OF PROJECT: SECOND ST. RECON.

PRELIMINARY SCORE FOR THIS PROJECT: 58

FINAL SCORE FOR THIS PROJECT: _____

RATING TEAM: /

- | | | <u>POINTS</u> |
|----|---|---------------|
| 1) | If SCIP/LTIP funds are granted, when would the construction contract be awarded? <u>(See Addendum for definition of delinquency)</u> | <u>5</u> |
| | 5 Points - Will be under contract by end of 1999 and no delinquent projects in Rounds 10 & 11. | |
| | 3 Points - Will be under contract by March 30, 2000 and/or Jurisdiction has had one delinquent project in Rounds 10 & 11. | |
| | 0 Points - Will not be under contract by March 30, 2000 and/or Jurisdiction has had more than one delinquent project in Rounds 10 & 11. | |
| 2) | What is the physical condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? <u>(See Addendum for definitions)</u> | <u>25</u> |
| | 25 Points - Failed | |
| | 23 Points - Critical | |
| | 20 Points - Very Poor | |
| | 17 Points - Poor | |
| | 15 Points - Moderately Poor | |
| | 10 Points - Moderately Fair | |
| | 5 Points - Fair Condition | |
| | 0 Points - Good or Better | |

PAVEMENT
HAS
FAILED

NOTE: If the infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for SCIP/LTIP funding unless it is an expansion Project that will improve serviceability.

3) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability? Documentation is required.

- 5 Points - Project design is for future demand.
- 4 Points - Project design is for partial future demand.
- 3 Points - Project design is for current demand.
- 2 Points - Project design is for minimal increase in capacity.
- 1 Point - Project design is for no increase in capacity.

1

4) How important is the project to HEALTH, SAFETY, AND WELFARE of the Public and the citizens of the District and/or service area? (See Addendum for definitions)

- 10 Points - Highly significant importance, with substantial impact on all 3 factors.
- 8 Points - Considerably significant importance, with substantial impact on 2 factors, or noticeable impact on all 3 factors.
- 6 Points - Moderate importance, with [substantial impact on 1 factor] or noticeable impact on 2 factors.
- 4 Points - Minimal importance, with noticeable impact on 1 factor
- 2 Points - No measurable impact

6

SAFETY - ROAD IS COLLAPSING

5) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?

- 10 Points
- 8 Points
- 6 Points
- 4 Points
- 2 Points

6

6) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Loan and Credit Enhancement projects automatically receive 5 points, and no match is required; however, up to 5 additional points will be awarded according to the Loan & Credit Enhancement scale as stated below. All grant-funded projects require a minimum of 10% matching funds. Points will be awarded according to the following schedule:

<u>Projects below \$1,000,000</u>	<u>Projects \$1M to \$2M</u>	<u>Projects above \$2M</u>
10 Pts - 50% or more	10 Pts - 60% or more	10 Pts - 70% or more
8 Pts - 40% to 49.99%	8 Pts - 50% to 59.99%	8 Pts - 60% to 69.99%
6 Pts - 30% to 39.99%	6 Pts - 40% to 49.99%	6 Pts - 50% to 59.99%
4 Pts - 20% to 29.99%	4 Pts - 30% to 39.99%	4 Pts - 40% to 49.99%
2 Pts - 10% to 19.99%	2 Pts - 20% to 29.99%	2 Pts - 30% to 39.99%
	0 Pts - 10% to 19.99%	0 Pts - 10% to 29.99%

Loans & Credit Enhancements

- 5 Pts - 50% or more
- 4 Pts - 40% to 49.99%
- 3 Pts - 30% to 39.99%
- 2 Pts - 20% to 29.99%
- 1 Pt - 10% to 19.99%

54.50%

10

7) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a partial or complete ban of the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? POINTS MAY ONLY BE AWARDED IF THE END RESULT OF THE PROJECT WILL CAUSE THE BAN TO BE LIFTED.

- 5 Points - Complete ban
- 3 Points - Partial ban
- 0 Points - No ban of any kind

0

8) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria include current traffic counts, households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for the roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

- 5 Points - 16,000 or more
- 4 Points - 12,000 to 15,999
- 3 Points - 8,000 to 11,999
- 2 Points - 4,000 to 7,999
- 1 Point - 3,999 and under

20

1

9) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations and destinations of traffic, functional classifications, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, etc. (See Addendum for definitions)

- 5 Points - Major impact
- 4 Points -
- 3 Points - Moderate impact
- 2 Points -
- 1 Point - Minimal or no impact

1

10) Has the jurisdiction enacted the optional \$5 license plate fee, an infrastructure levy, a user fee, or a dedicated tax for infrastructure and provided certification of which fees have been enacted?

- 5 Points - Two of the above
- 3 Points - One of the above
- 0 Points - None of the above

3

ADDENDUM TO THE RATING SYSTEM

DEFINITIONS/CLARIFICATIONS

Criterion 1 - ABILITY TO PROCEED

The Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience and OPWC defined delinquent projects. A project will be considered delinquent when any of the following occurs: 1) A letter is sent from the OPWC to the affected jurisdiction stating that the project has not moved in accordance with the time frame listed on the application (copies are sent to the District); or 2) no time extension has been granted by the OPWC; or 3) A jurisdiction receiving approval for a project subsequently terminates the same after the bid date on the application. The OPWC sends a letter to a jurisdiction which announces that its' project is going to be terminated when the project is sixty (60) days beyond the bid date shown on the original application and a time extension for the project has not previously been requested or has been denied.

Criterion 2 - CONDITION

Condition is based on the amount of deterioration that is *field verified* or documented exclusive of capacity, serviceability, or health, safety and welfare issues. Condition is rated only on the existing facility being repaired or abandoned. If the existing facility is not being abandoned or repaired, but a new facility is being built, it shall be considered as an expansion project. (Documentation may include ODOT BR-86 reports, pavement management condition reports, televised underground system reports, age inventory reports, maintenance records, etc., and will only be considered if included with the original application.)

Definitions:

FAILED CONDITION - Requires complete reconstruction where no part of the existing facility is salvageable. (E.g. Roads: complete reconstruction of roadway, curbs and base; Bridges: no part of the bridge can be salvaged; Underground: removal and replacement of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: completely non-functioning and replacement parts are unavailable.)

CRITICAL CONDITION - Requires moderate or partial reconstruction to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: reconstruction of roadway, curbs can be saved; Bridges: only the substructure can be salvaged with modifications; Underground: removal and replacement of part of an underground drainage or water system; Hydrants: some non-functioning, others obsolete and replacement parts are unavailable.)

VERY POOR CONDITION - Requires extensive rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: extensive full depth, partial depth and curb repair of a roadway with a structural overlay; Bridges: substructure and superstructure can be salvaged with extensive repairs; Underground: repair of joints and/or minor replacement of pipe sections; Hydrants: non-functioning and replacement parts are available.)

POOR CONDITION - Requires standard rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: moderate full depth, partial depth and curb repair to a roadway with no structural overlay needed or structural overlay with minor repairs to a roadway needed; Bridges: deck cannot be salvaged, substructure and superstructure need repair; Underground: insituform or other in ground repairs; Hydrants: functional, but leaking and replacement parts are unavailable.)

MODERATELY POOR CONDITION - Requires minor rehabilitation to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: minor full depth, partial depth or curb repairs to a roadway with either a thin overlay or no overlay needed; Bridges: deck can be salvaged with repairs and overlay; Hydrants: functional and replacement parts are available.)

MODERATELY FAIR CONDITION - Requires extensive maintenance to maintain integrity. (E.g. Roads: thin or no overlay with extensive crack sealing, minor partial depth and/or slurry or rejuvenation; Bridges: ___deck rehabilitation required, overlay not required.)

FAIR CONDITION - Requires routine maintenance to maintain integrity. (e.g. Roads: slurry seal, rejuvenation or routine crack sealing to the roadway; Bridges: minor rehabilitation required.)

GOOD OR BETTER CONDITION - Little or no maintenance required to maintain integrity; ___Bridges: no work required.

Criterion 4 - *HEALTH, SAFETY & WELFARE*

Definitions:

SAFETY - The design of the project will prevent accidents, promote safer conditions, and eliminate or reduce the danger of risk, liability, or injury.

EXAMPLES: Widening existing roadway lanes to standard lane widths; Adding lanes to a roadway or bridge to increase capacity or alleviate congestion; replacing old or non-functioning hydrants; increasing capacity to a water system, etc.

HEALTH - The design of the project will improve the overall condition of the facility so as to reduce or eliminate disease; or correct concerns regarding the environmental health of the area.

EXAMPLES: Improving or adding storm drainage or sanitary facilities; replacing lead joints in water lines;

WELFARE - The design of the project will promote economic well-being and prosperity.

EXAMPLES: Project has the potential to improve business expansions or opportunities in the area; project will improve the quality of life in the area;

PLEASE NOTE: The examples listed above are NOT a complete list, but only a small sampling of situations that may be relevant to any given project. Each project is looked at on an individual basis to determine if any aspects of this rating category apply, and if so, to what severity level (minor or significant).

The severity and extent of the problem, as it relates to Health, Safety and Welfare, MUST be fully detailed by the applicant and apparent to the rating team. The Support Staff will not attempt to determine these issues on its own.

Without such detail the jurisdiction should expect a lower rating than the project may deserve.


Criterion 9 - *REGIONAL IMPACT*

Definitions:

MAJOR IMPACT - Roads: major multi-jurisdictional route, primary feed to an interstate, Federal Aid Primary routes; Underground: primary water or sewer main serving and entire system; Hydrants: multi-jurisdictional.

MODERATE IMPACT - Roads: principal thoroughfares, Federal Aid Urban routes; Underground: primary water or sewer main serving only part of a system; Hydrants: all hydrants in a local system serving only one jurisdiction.


MINIMAL/NO IMPACT - Roads: cul-de-sacs, subdivision streets; Underground: individual water or sewer main not part of a large system; Hydrants: only some hydrants in a local system serving only one jurisdiction.




**Second St at South end
looking North**



**Second St at South end
looking Northeast (hillside slippage)**



**Second St at midpoint
looking South**



**Second St wood lagging
on West side of road**

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For Program Year 1999 (July 1, 1999 through June 30, 2000), jurisdictions shall provide the following support information to help determine which projects will be funded. Information on this form must be accurate, and where called for, based on sound engineering principles. Documentation to substantiate the individual items may be required by the Support Staff if information does not appear to be accurate.

- 1) What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, submit a copy of the current State form BR-86.

Closed _____ Poor X
Fair _____ Good _____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

The pavement is of substandard width and condition. It is showing subgrade failure in over 50% of the area due to water intrusion, poor compaction, and hillside slippage. No sidewalks exist.

- 2) If State Capital Improvement Program funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after receiving the Project Agreement from OPWC (tentatively set for July 1, 1999) would the project be under contract? The Support Staff will be reviewing status reports of previous projects to help judge the accuracy of a particular jurisdiction's anticipated project schedule.

1 weeks/ months (Circle one)

Are preliminary plans or engineering completed? Yes No
(Soil borings and survey)

Are detailed construction plans completed? Yes No

Are all right-of-way and easements acquired?* Yes No N/A

*Please answer the following if applicable:

No. of parcels needed for project: _____ Of these, how many are Takes _____, Temporary _____, Permanent _____

On a separate sheet, explain the status of the ROW acquisition process of this project for any parcels not yet acquired.

Are all utility coordination's completed? Yes No N/A

Give an estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed. 4 weeks/ months

- 3) How will the proposed project impact the general health, safety and welfare of the service area? (Typical examples may include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, commerce, and highway capacity.) Please be specific and provide documentation if necessary to substantiate the data.

The proposed project will widen the road to standard (2-10' wide lanes) width and improve the safety for vehicular traffic, particularly in the winter, with the elimination of the uneven pavement surface, and for pedestrian traffic with sidewalks. Continued hillside slippage could require the road to be closed and could cause damage to the residences to the west (downhill) on First Street.

- 4) What types of funds are to be utilized for the local share for this project?

Federal	_____	ODOT	_____	Local	_____
MRF	_____	OWDA	_____	CDBG	<u>X</u>
Other	_____				

Note: If MRF funds are being used for the local share, the MRF application must have been filed by August 1, 1998 for this project with the Hamilton County Engineer's Office.

The minimum amount of matching funds for grant projects (local share) must be at least 10% of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST. What percentage of matching funds is being committed to this project?

54.5 %

- 5) Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of building permits.) A copy of the approved legislation must be submitted with the application. THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE VALID.

Complete Ban _____ Partial Ban _____ No Ban X

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed?

Yes _____ No _____

- 6) What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project?

ADT = _____ X 1.20 = 20 directly (50 indirectly on First Street) users/day

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.20. For public transit, submit documentation substantiating the count. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to the restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by 4.

- 7) Has the jurisdiction developed a Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan as required in O.R.C., chapter 164?

Yes X No _____ (Updating Required)

- 8) Give a brief statement concerning the regional significance of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

 No regional significance.

- 9) For roadway betterment projects, provide the existing and proposed Level of Service (LOS) of the facility using the methodology outlined within AASHTO'S "Geometric Design of Highways and Streets" and the 1985 Highway Capacity Manual.

Existing LOS _____ Proposed LOS _____

If the proposed LOS is not "C" or better, explain why LOS "C" cannot be achieved. (Attach separate sheets if necessary.)

